Jim he allowed the stars was made, but I allowed they happened. Jim said the moon could'a laid them; well, that looked kind of reasonable, so I didn't say nothin against it, because I've seen a frog lay almost as many, so of course it could be done.

> Mark Twain The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn

University of Notre Dame

JINA Lecture Series on Tools and Toys in Nuclear Astrophysics

Nuclear Reaction Network Techniques

Francis X. Timmes fxt44@mac.com cococubed.com/talk_pages/jina05.shtml

Los Alamos National Laboratory Steward Observatory, University of Arizona Sites of the week

pntpm.ulb.ac.be/nacre.htm

quasar.physik.unibas.ch/~tommy/adndt.html

www-pat.llnl.gov/Research/RRSN/

www.nr.com

www.netlib.org/utk/people/JackDongarra/la-sw.html

Syllabus

1 June 20 Purpose, Motivation, Forming a network, PP-chain code

2 June 21 Jacobian formation, Energy generation, Time integration, CNO-cycle code

3 June 22 Linear algebra, Thermodynamic trajectories, Alpha-chain code

4 June 23 Nuclear Statistical Equilibrium code, Big-Bang code

5 June 24 Networks in hydrodynamic simulations, General network code

We may write our initial value problem as

$$\dot{Y}_{i} = \sum_{j} C_{i} R_{j} Y_{j} + \sum_{jk} \frac{C_{i}}{C_{j}! C_{k}!} \rho N_{A} R_{jk} Y_{j} Y_{k} + \sum_{jkl} \frac{C_{i}}{C_{j}! C_{k}! C_{l}!} \rho^{2} N_{A}^{2} R_{jkl} Y_{j} Y_{k} Y_{l}$$

or, in vector notation as

 $\dot{y} = f(y)$

The ODE coefficients span orders of magnitude since the reaction rates depend on high powers of the temperature, and since the abundances themselves may traverse orders of magnitude. As a result, nuclear reaction networks are "stiff".

Thus, with a few important exceptions, for each nucleus we need only consider twelve reactions linking it to its nuclear neighbors by the capture of an n, p, α or γ and release a different one of these four.



One of the most important consequences of changing the composition is the release (or absorption) of energy. The energy generation rate is given by

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{\rm nuc} = -\sum_{i} N_A M_i c^2 \dot{Y}_i - \dot{\epsilon}_{\nu} \quad (\text{erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$$

where M_ic^2 is the rest mass energy of species i.

The mass of a nucleus is conveniently expressed in terms of tabulations of the the atomic mass excess

 $M_i = A_i m_\mu + M_{\text{ex},i}$

where m_{μ} is the atomic mass unit.

This method has a minimum costs of 1 Jacobian and
 8 right-hand side evaluations, 2 matrix reductions, and
 10 backsubstitutions for a time step that meets the specified integration accuracy.

The cost increases by 1 matrix reduction + m backsubstitutions for every order increase.

The cost per step is at least twice as large as the simple Euler or Kaps-Rentrop method, but it may be more efficient globally if accurate steps are at least twice as big can be taken.

The Bader-Deuflard method is used in the codes I'm providing for these JINA lectures.

CNO cycles

If $T_9 > 0.5$, then one breaks out of the beta-limited CNO cycles and begins a journey on the rapid-proton capture process.



Abundance Variables

neutron excess: $\eta = \sum (A_i - 2Z_i)Y_i$

(pure protons) $-1 \le \eta \le 1$ (pure neutrons)

Let f_i be the fraction of isotope i that is ionized. $f_i = 0$ = neutral atom. $f_i = 1$ = fully ionized.

electron fraction : $Y_e = \frac{n_e}{N_A \rho} = \sum Z_i f_i Y_i$

 $Y_e = (1 - \eta)/2 = \overline{Z}/\overline{A}$ if fully ionized

Abundance Variables

$$\mu_{ion} = \frac{1}{\sum Y_i} = \overline{A}$$

$$\mu_{ele} = \frac{1}{\sum Y_i Z_i f_i} = \frac{1}{Y_e}$$

mean molecular weight : $\mu = \left[\frac{1}{\mu_{ion}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{ele}}\right]^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sum Y_i(Z_i f_i + 1)}$

$$\mu = \frac{\overline{A}}{\overline{Z} + 1} \quad \text{if fully ionized}$$

Since we must use an implicit integration method we'll be solving (large) systems of linear equations. As the linear algebra will generally dominate the time to obtain a solution, we'll want to use efficient solvers.

Over the next few slides we'll briefly examine an example of a dense solver, direct sparse solver, and an iterative sparse solver.



LEQS is a routine which solves a system of linear equations by Gaussian elimination, the method you probably first learned.

Matrix Å is reduced to upper triangular form in tandem with a right-hand side b by Gaussian elimination, and backsubstitution on the upper triangular matrix yields the solution to $A \cdot x = b$. $\begin{bmatrix}
2 & -1 & 1 \\
-2 & 2 & -3 \\
2 & -4 & 3
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
x_3 \\
x_3
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
3 \\
-7 \\
-7 \\
3
\end{bmatrix}$ 1. Step: annihilate x. from nows 2,3 new yow 2 . row + how? new now 3 + now 3 - now 1 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & -3 & 2 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 2 step: annihilate x2 from row 3 new row 3 . row 3 . 3. rows) $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ +2 \\ +2 \\ +2 \\ +2 \\ +2 \\ -4 \\ -12 \\ \end{bmatrix}$ =) x,= 3 X2-2×3-4 => ×2=2 2×1-x2+x3=3 = x1=1

The origin of this legacy routine (LEQS) is somewhat obscure, in use by at least 1962, and is probably the most common linear algebra package presently used for evolving reaction networks.

LEQS is used in the codes I'm providing for the JINA lectures.



Ford-Seattle 1962

The maximum element in each row serves as the pivot element, but no row or column interchanges are performed, so LEQS may become unstable if used on matrices that are not diagonally dominant.

A small amount of effort is devoted to minimizing calculations with matrix elements that are zero.

All Gaussian elimination routines have the disadvantage that for a staged sequence of right-hand sides, the entire matrix must be decomposed for each right-hand side.

Suppose we are able to write the matrix \tilde{A} as a product of two matrices, $\tilde{A} = \tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{U}$, where L is a lower triangular matrix (elements on the diagonal and below) and U is an upper triangular matrix (elements on the diagonal and bediagonal and above).

We could use such a decomposition to solve the linear set

$$\tilde{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \left(\tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{U}\right) \cdot \mathbf{x} = \tilde{L} \cdot \left(\tilde{U} \cdot \mathbf{x}\right) = \mathbf{b}$$

By first solving $\tilde{L} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}$ for y and then $\tilde{U} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ for x.

What is the advantage of breaking up one linear set into two successive ones?

One advantage is that the solution of a triangular system is trivial, as we have just seen in Gaussian elimination.

Another advantage is that once we have the LU decomposition of a matrix, we can solve for as many right hand sides as we want, one at a time.

NUMERICAL RECIPES in Fortran 90

The Are of Perallel Semantific Composing

Western C. Chrome

and A. Theiseley Bend IF (Fileranges

There are two methods for solving sparse linear systems of equations; direct and iterative.





Direct methods for sparse matrices typically divide the solution of ÷x = b into a symbolic LU decomposition, numerical LU decomposition, and a backsubstitution phase.



Domain decomposition for four processors for a torpedo launch calculation. 1996, NRL.

In the symbolic LU decomposition phase the matrix is not (usually) decomposed; only the steps to do so are stored.

The pivot order is determined, and a sequence of decomposition operations which minimize the amount of fill-in is recorded.

Fill-in refers to zero matrix elements which become nonzero (e.g., a sparse matrix times a sparse matrix is generally a denser matrix).

Since the nonzero pattern of a nuclear reaction network (generally) does not change, the symbolic LU decomposition is a one-time initialization cost for a given pivot ordering (diagonal).

In the numerical LU decomposition phase, a matrix with the same pivot order and nonzero pattern as a previously factorized matrix is numerically decomposed into its lowerupper form.

This phase must be done only once for each staged set of linear equations.

In the backsubstitution phase, a set of linear equations is solved with the factors calculated from a previous numerical decomposition.

The backsubstitution phase may be performed with as many right-hand sides as needed, and not all of the right-hand sides need to be known in advance.

Most sparse matrix packages accept the nonzero entries of the matrix in three vectors, i, j, a_{ij}. Most sparse routubes consume about 50 to 99.999% less storage than a dense matrix.

MA28 is the Coke classic of sparse matrix solvers. hsl.rl.ac.uk/archive/hslarchive.html Duff, Erisman & Reid "Direct Methods for Sparse Matrices".



UMFPACK is a modern, direct sparse matrix solver. www.cise.ufl.edu/research/sparse/umfpack

Iterative, or matrix-free, methods seek to minimize a function whose gradient is typically ÷x – b and equal to zero when the function is minimized.

These methods are attractive because they tend to only require matrix-times-vector operations and usually have smaller storage requirements than direct methods.



However, the number of iterations required to converge to a solution is not known a priori, and generally increases with the number of unknowns.

The total number of iterations, hence the overall speed, depends crucially on the initial guess and on the stringency of the convergence criteria.

そうば 没有大学で 話が描 しけ 雪田氏 イ カモシン 保む 文化なう ふんぽ ふたぼん 学習 ひ放す 御屋
近年代部門が部門開始会会は、新聞会会となっている。 いったたいまたいのです マストット・マンド・ビード かいかん いう たたいまたい ひん いちょう しゅう いいしょう しゅう ひんしょう かん ステル・デー
an navely bet seen out on an anterseets on eveness as
医醋酸 经公司股票 有限公司 医外外的 医一种 计数据分子 化氯化合合 化氯化合合合物 化化化合物 经公司 医二乙酰胺 化乙酰胺 化乙酰胺 化乙酰胺 化乙酰胺 化乙酰胺 化乙酰胺 化乙酰胺 化
가려 가려가 가려가 가지 않는다. 이는 것 같은 것 같
The matrixer of allegerate or externate the set
· 「「「「」」」、「「」」、「「」」、「「」」、「「」」、「「」」、「「」」、
あいぞん 学校 びます 読出のシス 世界市 ゴ酸ンは菜 シーガ
H BRONE REA TRADE VE ALARY TRADE ANALYSIS ANALYSIS AND AND AND ANALYSIS ANA
前の後 おお女がて 当予約 しき金融業人 お知ると 身の 文明な才 き状態 きに満た 手切 び信す 標語のシー語 読ません 中国 がたり きま キャーボー そうりょう りょうしょう ノン 比え ひ はちょう 目 読 使し れ ひょう きま キャー
11日 A C C A R C C A R A R A R A R A R A R A
● 次期文字で 低子論 しょ金融病子 力能もし 保切 空間な了 ト北朝 もに良と 宇宙 び付す 読品のン語 数量の
Ada 202.86 %#7.8 ~18.4 %
ALLARDARD UN DE DESERVISION BARA BARA BARADA CALLARDARDA

BiCG is described by Barret et al in "Templates for the Solution of Linear Systems: Building Blocks for Iterative Methods". netlib2.cs.utk.edu/linalg/html_templates/Templates.html

SPARSKIT is a modern, iterative sparse matrix solver. www-users.cs.umn.edu/~saad/software/SPARSKIT/sparskit.html



Both methods generate a sequence of vectors for the matrix A and another sequence for the transpose matrix A^T. These vector sequences are the residuals of the iterations and are made mutually orthogonal, or bi-orthogonal.

Interlude



We've been considering situations where the temperature and density history are constant. This is the same as saying

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = 0 \qquad \frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0$$

Such conditions are called "hydrostatic" burning, since the local energy release doesn't change the temperature or density, as during the hydrostatic phases of a star's evolution. Volume Constant

Thermostat §

YA, YB, YC, YD, YE

We now wish to relax these assumptions.

This presents us with a choice. We can evolve the temperature and density equations separately and then evolve the reaction network, or we can evolve the temperature and density equations simultaneously with the reaction network.



The first choice is called "operator splitting", and assumes that the timescale for a temperature or density change is much longer than the burning timescale. Operator splitting is easy to implement and, by far, the most common choice.

> A Hydrodynamic-Burning Instability Photodisintegration dominates Negative energy generation rate Too Material cools Hot Temperature What the Just temperature Right should do Too Electron capture dominates Coo Positive energy generation rate Material heats n+2 n+1 n Time Step

An example when the assumption of loose coupling breaks down.

The second choice is called "unsplit". It avoids the coupling between processes issue, but has the disadvantage of being more difficult to implement, particularly for implicit integrations.



Example of coupling three burning zones via diffusive processes.

The reaction network that I'm distributing for this JINA school uses the unsplit method. The hydrostatic burning ODEs dT/dt=0 and dp/dt=0 are easy to append to our reaction network. The Jacobian then looks like this:



Its usually improves mass and energy conservation to append the energy generation rate to our set of ODEs

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{\rm nuc} = -\sum_{i} N_A M_i c^2 \dot{Y}_i - \dot{\epsilon}_{\nu}$$



The A type of burning called "explosive burning" models a region where a shock has heated and compressed the material to some peak temperature T_0 and density ρ_0 .

This region subsequently expands adiabatically (if the energy from shock heating exceeds that from nuclear burning) as a radiation dominated gas.

The density and temperature decline with time over a hydrodynamic timescale (a free-fall timescale)

$$\tau_{\rho} = \frac{1}{24\pi G\rho} = \frac{446.0}{\sqrt{\rho}}$$

$$\tau_T = 3\tau_\rho$$

For explosive nucleosynthesis we thus take





Nucleosynthetic changes in composition and the resultant energy release produce local changes in hydrodynamic quantities like pressure and temperature.

The strongest of these local couplings is the release (or absorption) of energy and the resultant change in temperature.

Changes in temperature are particularly important because of the exponential nature of the temperature dependence of thermonuclear reaction rates.

One way to model this in a reaction network is to deposit the energy generated into internal energy and derive an ODE that gives the temperature in accordance with an equation of state.



Sometimes this model is called "self-heating". From basic thermodynamics we have

$$dE = \frac{\partial E}{\partial T}dT + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho}d\rho + \sum \frac{\partial E}{\partial Y_i}dY_i$$

applying the 1/dt operator and assuming the equation of state composition dependence is characterized \overline{A} and \overline{Z} leads to

 $\epsilon_{nuc} = c_V \frac{dT}{dt} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} + \sum \frac{\partial E}{\partial \overline{A}} \frac{\partial \overline{A}}{\partial Y_i} \frac{dY_i}{dt} + \sum \frac{\partial E}{\partial \overline{Z}} \frac{\partial \overline{Z}}{\partial Y_i} \frac{dY_i}{dt}$

Solving for dT/dt yields our self-consistent temperature ODE

$\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{1}{c_V} \left[\epsilon_{nuc} - \frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} - \frac{\partial E}{\partial \overline{A}} \overline{A}^2 \sum \frac{dY_i}{dt} - \frac{\partial E}{\partial \overline{Z}} \overline{A} \sum (Z_i - \overline{Z}) \frac{dY_i}{dt} \right]$

This self-heating mode can (and has) been used (in operator split form) for x-ray burst models

 $\frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0$



Fang Peng & Ed Brown 2003

One-dimensional detonations



Given the thermodynamics of the fuel, and that the ashes exist in an equilibrium state, the Chapman-Jouguet (1890) solution follows from a consistent solution to

$$P_2 = P_1 - (v_2 \rho_2)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2}\right)$$

 $E_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(P_{1} + P_{2}) \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} - \frac{1}{\rho_{2}} \right) \right] + E_{1} + q_{\text{burn}}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} = 1 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_{i} Z_{i}}{A_{i}} = Y_{e}$$

The CJ solution gives the detonation front speed and the ash thermodynamics.



The CJ solution doesn't tell you about the width of the fuel-ash region, spatial variations of variables, or if the solution is a self-sustaining detonation.

The Zeldovich-Von Neumann-Doring (1943) solution follows from integrating three ODEs:

$$\frac{dP}{dx} = \frac{v \phi}{v^2 - c_s^2} \qquad \qquad \frac{d\rho}{dx} = \frac{1}{v} \frac{\phi}{v^2 - c_s^2} \qquad \qquad \frac{dv}{dx} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\phi}{v^2 - c_s^2}$$

$$\phi = \frac{\partial P}{\partial E} \bigg|_{\rho} \left[\epsilon_{nuc} - \frac{\partial E}{\partial A} \bigg|_{P} \frac{dA}{dt} \right]$$

These can be added (with some degree of difficulty) to a reaction network.

The ZND solution gives the width of the fuel-ash region, spatial variations of the quantities, the self-sustaining solution, and global integrals which reduce to the CJ solution.



One also encounters cases where the post-processing of a previously calculated thermodynamic trajectory is desired.

 In this case one interpolates T(t) and ρ(t) for time point demanded by the integration, and one uses the hydrostatic ODEs dT/dt=0 and dρ/dt=0.



While not the most efficient, accurate integrations can be obtained for any arbitrary thermodynamic trajectory.



Interlude



Stars M.C. Escher 1948 Woodcut

Integration of the ordinary differential equations which represent the abundance levels of a set of isotopes serves two functions in models of stellar events.

The primary function, as far as the hydrodynamics is concerned, is to provide the magnitude and sign of the thermonuclear energy generation rate.

The second function is to describe the evolution of the abundance levels of the nuclear species. These abundance levels are, of course, fundamental to our understanding of the origin and evolution of the elements.

Obtaining accurate values for the energy generation rate is expensive in terms of computer memory and CPU time.

The largest block of memory in a stellar hydrodynamic program is reserved for storing the abundances at every grid point.

This memory requirement can be quite restrictive for 3-D models on present parallel computer architectures.

Even with modern methods for solving reaction networks, evolving the abundances begins to dominate the total cost of a multi-D model when the number of species is about 30.

To decrease the resources usage means making a choice between having fewer isotopes in the reaction network or having less spatial resolution.

The general response to this tradeoff has been to evolve a limited number of isotopes, and thus calculate an approximate thermonuclear energy generation rate.

The set of 13 nuclei most commonly used for this purpose are ⁴He, ¹²C, ¹⁶O, ²⁰Ne, ²⁴Mg, ²⁸Si, ³²S, ³⁶Ar, ⁴⁰Ca, ⁴⁴Ti, ⁴⁸Cr, ⁵²Fe, ⁵⁶Ni.

This minimal set of nuclei, usually called an α-chain network, can reasonably track the abundance levels from helium burning through nuclear statistical equilibrium.



More importantly from a hydrodynamics standpoint, an α-chain reaction network gives a thermonuclear energy generation rate that is generally, but not always, within 20% of the energy generation rate given by larger reaction networks.

In essence, one gets most of the energy generated for most thermodynamic conditions at a fraction of the computational cost (memory + CPU).





 A definition of what we mean by an α-chain reaction network is prudent.

A strict α-chain reaction network is only composed of (α,γ) and (γ,α) links among the 13 isotopes ⁴He, ¹²C, ¹⁶O, ²⁰Ne, ²⁴Mg, ²⁸Si, ³²S, ³⁶Ar, ⁴⁰Ca, ⁴⁴Ti, ⁴⁸Cr, ⁵²Fe, and ⁵⁶Ni.

The second sec

At these elevated temperatures the flows through the (α,p)(p,γ) sequences are faster than the flows through (α,γ) channels. An (α,p)(p,γ) sequence is, effectively, an (α,γ) reaction through an intermediate isotope.

In the α-chain reaction network we'll use in these lectures, we include 8 (α,p)(p,γ) sequences plus the corresponding inverse sequences by assuming steady-state proton flows through the intermediate isotopes ²⁷Al, ³¹P, ³⁵Cl, ³⁹K, ⁴³Sc, ⁴⁷V, ⁵¹Mn, and ⁵⁵Co.

This strategy permits inclusion of $(\alpha, p)(p, \gamma)$ sequences without evolving the proton or intermediate isotope abundances.



19 Isotopes 78 Rates 69.0% Sparse Flows (#/sec): 6.00E+09 4.05E+07 2.73E+05 1.85E+03 1.25E+01 -1.19E+01 -1.76E+03 -2.60E+05 -3.86E+07 -5.71E+09 -8.46E+11

Tasks for the day

 Download, compile, and run the 13 isotope α-chain code from www.cococubed.com/code_pages/burn.shtml

The Verify the ODEs and the Jacobian matrix elements for the triple-alpha and the ${}^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma){}^{16}O$ reactions.

T = 3×10^9 K, $\rho = 10^9$ g/cm³, $X(^{12}C) = X(^{16}O) = 0.5$. Plot the abundance evolution. What isotope dominates when? Now run the code in its explosive (adiabatic) mode using the same initial conditions. Compare and contrast the results with the hydrostatic run.

Tools and Toys in Nuclear Astrophysics



How Backyard Stargazers Are Probing Deep Space and Guarding Earth from Interplanetary Peril

TIMOTHY FERRES